UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,181	01/27/2006	Peter Jeffrey	06005	5562
	7590 06/18/200 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER		
1727 KING ST		CAMPBELL, VICTORIA P		
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/566,181		JEFFREY, PETER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

		VIOLOTOLIA IL OPTIMI BELE	6766				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE	REPLY FILED <u>10 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance (	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.				
have l under set for may r	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of				
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a				
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. 🔲	,		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
7. 🖂 5. 🖂	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amenament (1 102-324).				
5. <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendment canceling the				
7. 🔯	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-12.		l be entered and an explanation of				
٨٥٥١	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:				
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's additional limitation of latch opening formations separate from the deflectable edge members is new and requires additional search by the examiner..